

Literature Review: Nutrition

A Systematic Review of Interventions to Increase Awareness, Knowledge, and Folic Acid Consumption Before and During Pregnancy

Corina Mihaela Chivu, MD, MPH; Mayer Brezis, MD, MPH; Theodore H. Tulchinsky, MD, MPH; Karla Soares-Weiser, MD, PhD; Rony Braunstein, PhD, MSc

Abstract

1 Objective. We conducted a systematic review of studies designed to increase awareness of, knowledge about, and consumption of folic acid before and during pregnancy.

2 Data sources. Studies were identified from Cochrane Library, Medline, and the references of primary studies and reviews.

Study inclusion and exclusion criteria. Studies included randomized controlled trials, quasi-experimental interrupted time series studies, follow-up studies, case-control studies, and before-and-after studies, all of which were conducted between 1992 and 2005 on women ages 15 to 49 years and/or health professionals, evaluating awareness and/or knowledge and/or consumption of folic acid both before and after intervention. Studies were excluded if data were not presented both before and after intervention or were other outcomes than those mentioned here.

Data extraction. Data were extracted in relation to characteristics of studies, participants, interventions, and outcomes.

Data synthesis. Because of heterogeneity, we performed a narrative synthesis describing the direction and the size of effects.

Results. On average, women's awareness increased from 60% to 72%, knowledge from 21% to 45%, and consumption from 14% to 23%.

Conclusions. Interventions had a positive affect on folic acid intakes before and during pregnancy, although the average usage reached less than 25%. (*Am J Health Promot* 2007;22[1]:000-000.)

So what? Further research is needed to design more effective interventions to increase periconceptional use of folic acid.

Key Words: Folic Acid, Supplementation, Awareness, Knowledge, Usage, Intervention, Prevention Research. Format: literature review; Research purpose: intervention testing; Study design: content analysis; Outcome measure: cognitive, behavioral; Setting: state/national; Health focus: nutrition; Strategy: behavioral change; Target population age: youth and adults; Target population circumstances: geographic location

Corina Mihaela Chivu, MD, MPH, is with the Bucharest Department of Research and Evaluation of Health Care System, National Institute for Research and Development in Health, Bucharest, Romania. Mayer Brezis, MD, MPH, is with the Braun School of Public Health and Community Medicine Department of Quality at the Hebrew University, and the Hadassah University Hospital Center for Clinical Quality and Safety, Jerusalem, Israel. Theodore H. Tulchinsky is with the Braun School of Public Health and Community Medicine Department of Nutrition at the Hebrew University, Jerusalem, Israel. Karla Soares-Weiser, MD, PhD, is with Enhance Reviews, Kfar Saba, Israel. Rony Braunstein, PhD, MSc, is with the Hadassah University Hospital Center for Safety and Quality Department of Statistics, Jerusalem, Israel.

Send reprint requests to Corina Mihaela Chivu, MD, MPH, National Institute for Research and Development in Health, Bucharest Department of Research and Evaluation of the Health Care System, 31 Vaselor, Bucharest 73258, Romania; corinam1001@yahoo.com.

This manuscript was submitted May 15, 2006; revisions were requested December 20, 2006, March 15, 2007, and March 20, 2007; the manuscript was accepted for publication April 1, 2007.

Copyright © 2007 by American Journal of Health Promotion, Inc.
0890-1171/07/\$5.00 + 0

OBJECTIVE

Folic acid supplementation before and during pregnancy prevents neural tube defects,¹⁻³ and since 1992 the U.S. Public Health Service has recommended that all women of childbearing age consume 400 µg folic acid each day.^{2,4,5}

Educational campaigns using different channels of communication have been used to promote folic acid intake before and during pregnancy, but their impact appears to be variable.^{4,6} While many women of reproductive age worldwide may be aware of the potential benefits of folic acid during pregnancy,⁷ their compliance with recommendations remains low.⁸⁻¹¹

In the United States, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention and the March of Dimes have organized campaigns to inform both the public and health professionals about the necessity of daily consumption of folic acid supplements by all women of childbearing age.⁴ While health professionals may play an important role counseling the women on folic acid supplements,¹² they often fail to do so.^{7,13}

We reviewed the literature on interventions designed to improve the awareness, knowledge, and consumption of folic acid before and during pregnancy in order to assess which were most effective and to recommend future directions for researchers, health promotion practitioners, and clinicians.

METHODS

Data Sources

We searched electronic databases (Cochrane Library and Medline), re-

lying on the following standardized keywords: folic-acid* AND supplement* AND ["health-knowledge-attitudes-practice" OR "patient-education*" OR "preconception-care*" OR "pregnancy" OR "persuasive-communication*" OR "information-dissemination"] AND ["neural-tube-defects"/ prevention-and-control" OR "spinal-dysraphism" OR "spina bifida"] AND ["randomized controlled trial" OR "clinical-trials" OR "cohort-studies" OR "case-control-studies"].

We looked for primary studies, reviews, and conference proceedings. We also contacted an expert from Emory University in Atlanta, Georgia (G. Oakley) to get additional information about public health strategies promoting folic acid consumption. To clarify missing data identified in six studies, we contacted the authors of these studies.¹⁴⁻¹⁹

Study Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

Criteria for inclusion a study were: (1) women of reproductive age (15-49 years) and/or health professionals; (2) presence of any type of intervention: printed and audio-visual media, electronic media (Internet), counseling, free distribution of folic acid supplements/multivitamins with folic acid, food labels (folate logo and messages from nutritionists on food packs), magnetized reminder, advertisements, training/presentations, or personal communication; (3) data about rates of women's awareness, knowledge, or consumption of folic acid and/or health professionals' knowledge about and counseling on folic acid before and after intervention; and (4) publication from the year 1992 up to 2005.

3 The assessment of methodologic quality was performed by one author according to the standard criteria developed by the Effective Practice and Organisation of Care Group,²⁰ the manuals developed by the Centre for Reviews and Dissemination from the University of York,²¹ the Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions 4.2.3,²² and other relevant tools.^{23,24} We applied specific standard criteria developed by the Effective Practice and Organisation of Care Group for each study design.

There are seven standard criteria to assess the methodologic quality of randomized controlled trials, seven standard criteria to assess the methodologic quality of controlled before-and-after studies, and seven standard criteria to assess the methodologic quality of interrupted time series studies. Each criterion is scored as "done," "not clear," or "not done."²² We handled the scores according to data in each retrieved study. In addition, the quality was assessed by taking into consideration whether the following factors were addressed: sampling method; specification of eligibility criteria to include participants in study and exclusion criteria; description of nonparticipants; adjustment of potential confounders (age, ethnicity, income level, education level); loss to follow-up; and the validity of the instrument (standardized questionnaire/interview).

We assessed the quality of a study by its design and the description of the intervention (based on social marketing theory). We examined the rate of women aware of, knowledgeable about the effects of, or using folic acid before and after an intervention, and the rate of health professionals knowledgeable about and counseling on folic acid supplements.

Studies were excluded if data were not presented for both before and after intervention and if the outcomes were presented only as blood measures of folic acid or as prevalence rates of neural tube defects.

Data Extraction

Data were extracted in relation to characteristics of studies, participants, interventions, and outcomes.

Data Synthesis

The average levels of awareness, knowledge, and folic acid consumption were calculated before and after intervention and are expressed as the percentage of women aware of, knowing about, and taking folic acid. In order to quantify the inconsistency among results we used the statistical test I.^{2,25} Because of heterogeneity, we performed a narrative synthesis describing the direction and the size of effects.²²

RESULTS

The search retrieved 337 articles. One author assessed the records retrieved by electronic databases, looking at the title of every article to see if it was related to the topic and at its abstract to check for the inclusion criteria. If the title or abstract left room for doubt, the full text of the article was obtained, and after reading it we decided whether to include or exclude it.²²

We retained 31 studies published between 1992 and 2005. The justification for not reviewing studies prior to 1992 was that periconceptional folate guidelines were introduced starting with the year 1992.

The following study designs were used among the included studies: randomized controlled trial (2), quasi-experimental interrupted time series study (1), follow-up (3), case-control (1), before-and-after study with a control group (2), and before-and-after without a control group (22). We included all these study designs, particularly because few randomized trials addressed the topic of our review.

A majority of studies were judged as prone to selection bias when the sample appeared to not represent a general population (due to recruitment methods and places), or when the response rate to survey was lower than 80%. In 11 studies, participants were women attending a clinic or recruited by convenience sampling. Almost half of the studies recruited pregnant women and/or mothers. The most frequent method of survey was self-administered questionnaire or telephone interview. The women's response rate reported in 20 studies was, on average, 75%, ranging from 27% to 99%, but generally we did not find data about nonresponders to see if they were different from responders. In the other 11 studies no information was given about the response rate. In more than one third of the studies no information was provided about the validity of the instrument (how its content was developed and whether it was pilot tested). This does not include reliability testing data, since information about the reliability of the instrument used was even more scarce.

The outcomes of the studies were defined as follows: awareness, as ever hearing or reading about folic acid^{3,14,15,17,26-34}; knowledge, as having any information about the role of folic acid in prevention of neural tube defects or other congenital malformations^{3,17,26,29-31,33-39}; and consumption, as periconceptional daily intake of folic acid (before and after conception).^{3,17,18,26,27,29,30,35,40,41} The outcome measurements consisted of women's self-reported intakes.

5 Table 1 describes the awareness, knowledge, and use of folic acid before and after intervention in 20 studies with before-and-after design,²² including follow-up, case-control, before-and-after with control group, and before-and-after without control group. For each preintervention and postintervention comparison, the results presented are the average proportion of women aware of, knowledgeable about, and consuming folic acid before and after intervention, as well as the percentage increase from baseline (absolute change from baseline).²⁰ The direction of effects was consistent across the studies. All outcomes increased after intervention, and most of the increases were statistically significant, but the size of effect varied. Overall, the awareness increased from 60% (range: 28%–98%) to 72% (range: 42%–100%). The knowledge rose from 22% (range: 5%–77%) to 49% (range: 13%–93%). The consumption increased from 14% (range: 4%–73%) to 23% (range: 9%–85%). Even though more women became aware and knowledgeable of folic acid, there were wide discrepancies between awareness/knowledge and actual consumption.

Eight studies were not included in Table 1 for the following reasons: the percentage of folic acid usage was not specified,⁴² the usage of folic acid was presented only as an odds ratio,⁴³ sufficient information was not presented (only the absolute number of women),⁴⁴ postintervention results compared to preintervention data were presented by the Student *t* test,⁴⁵ and only health professionals were addressed.^{19,36} In addition, two references were duplicates of a previously included study (de Bruin et al.⁴⁹).^{32,46}

The knowledge measured in one randomized controlled trial³⁹ increased overall from 14% before to 25% after intervention ($P < .001$).

One randomized controlled trial⁴¹ and one quasi-experimental interrupted time series study⁴⁰ are presented separately in Table 2, showing the proportion of women from intervention and control group who used folic acid daily and weekly. The folic acid use appeared to increase both in intervention and control groups.^{40,41}

While the direction of effect was the same in all reviewed studies (Table 1), the heterogeneity was apparent between studies ($I^2 > 96\%$, $P < .00001$). The causes for heterogeneity were multiple: differences in baseline (pre-intervention) level of the outcomes (Table 1) related to variations in population characteristics²² and differences in interventions.

Interventions were carried out mostly in the United States (California, Texas, Virginia, Arkansas, Florida, Utah, Michigan, Arizona), but also in Australia (Victoria, South Australia, Western Australia), Europe (the Netherlands, Denmark, Norway, the United Kingdom, Ireland, Germany), and Israel with women and/or health professionals between 1992 and 2002. The interventions were national or local campaigns that were launched between 1992 and 2002. The interventions with women used printed and audio-visual media (radio, TV, Internet) in 15 studies^{3,14-16,18,26,28,33-35,43,44,47-49} or printed media with other channels in 6 studies.^{27,29,31,39-41} The printed media were newspapers and magazines in 14 studies^{16,18,26,28,31,33-35,38,40,44,47-49}, posters in 10 studies^{16,26-28,31,35,39,40,47,49}, brochures in 7 studies^{26,28,29,34,40,48,49}; pamphlets in 6 studies^{16,26,27,35,41,47}; and leaflets in 4 studies.^{18,29,35,39} The interventions were placed mostly in medical centers,^{14-16,18,26,30,35,39,40,47} pharmacies,^{16,18,27,31,35,39,40,47,48} kindergartens and schools,^{16,35,37,47} and public places (i.e., libraries, shopping centers, bus stop, supermarkets, fitness centres, hairdressers).^{16,26,28,34,35,39,47} Other channels consisted of counseling in eight studies^{3,14,15,33,38,41,42,44}; free distribution of folic acid pills/multivitamins with folic acid in five studies^{18,29,30,40,41}; advertisements in five studies^{14-16,18,47}; magnetized reminders

in two studies^{26,40}; food labels (folate logo and messages from nutritionists on food packs) in three studies^{28,34,35}; slide presentations in two studies^{37,45}; and reminder phone calls in one study.⁴¹ The interventions with health professionals consisted of printed materials in seven studies^{14-16,27,31,35,47}; training in seven studies^{16,19,26,35,36,40,47}; professional publications in six studies^{16,35,36,47-49}; letters in six studies^{29,35,36,40,48,49}; personal communication in one study⁴⁸; incentives (coffee mugs, note pads) in one study⁴⁰; and reminder (patient history form) in one study⁴⁰ (Table 3).

7 Our review also found evidence related to health professionals' knowledge and behavior regarding folic acid recommendation. The health professionals' knowledge about folic acid (related to the advised dose of 0.4 mg/day before and during the first 3 months of pregnancy)^{2,4,5} increased from 13% to 58% before to 51% to 70% after intervention ($P < .0001$).^{35,36} Health professionals' knowledge about the recommended period for folic acid intake (one^{18,31,48,49} to two²⁷ months before up to three months after conception²⁷) augmented from 57% to 80% before to 79% to 85% after intervention ($P < .0001$).^{35,36} The percentage of health care providers recommending folic acid to women rose from 13% to 45% before to 19% to 62% after interventions, as described^{3,16,35,36,38} in Table 3 ($P < .0001$).³⁶ Most of the health practitioners who gave counseling were gynecologists (37% before and 74% after intervention),⁴⁸ pharmacists (38% before and 43% after intervention),⁴⁸ and nurses (3% before and 9% after intervention).³³

To understand the variable impact of interventions on women's and/or health professionals' behavior, we explored their effectiveness in relation to the following social marketing criteria: the duration of the campaign, the number of exposures the participants had to the message,⁵⁰ the content of the message, and the message's language and channel of communication.

Table 4 shows a variable duration of campaigns to women and health professionals in the studies evaluated. We did not find any information related to

Table 1

The Average Rate of Women Aware of, Knowing About, or Using Daily Folic Acid, Before and After Intervention in 20 Studies

Before-and-After Design	Awareness			Knowledge†			Usage		
	% Before Intervention (Baseline)	% After Intervention	Percentage Increase from Baseline (P)*	% Before Intervention (Baseline)	% After Intervention	Percentage Increase from Baseline (P)*	% Before Intervention (Baseline)	% After Intervention	Percentage Increase from Baseline (P)*
Ahluwalia and Daniel ¹⁴	64	73	9*****						
Alozie Arole et al. ^{15‡}	60	71	11 (<0.001)						
Amitai et al. ²⁶	55	85	30 (<0.001)	18	77	59 (<0.001)	5	31	26 (<0.001)
Brandenburg et al. ^{27‡}	41	91	50 (<0.05)				5	25	20 (<0.05)
Bower et al. ^{47‡}				8	35	27**			
Bower 1997a‡							11	43	32 (<0.0001)
Broome 1999‡	31	62	31 (<0.05)	77	85	8 (<0.05)			
Byrne ²⁹	98	100	2**	73	73	0	9	19	10 (<0.05)
Chacko et al. ³⁰	52	86	34**				9	67	58**
Chan et al. ^{35‡}				32	58	26 (<0.0001)	10	36	26 (<0.001)
Daltveit et al. ³¹	50	60	10 (<0.01)	10	21	11 (<0.01)	10	47	37 (<0.01)
Egen and Hasford ⁴⁸	28	42	14 (0.02)				4	9	5 (0.077)
de Jong-van den Berg et al. ^{17§}	50	53	3**				36	43	7**
Johnson et al. ³⁷				29	93	64 (<0.05)			
Knudsen et al. ¹⁸							14	23	9 (<0.0001)
van der Pal-de Bruin et al. ⁴⁹	42	77	35**				5	21	16**
Petrini 1999	52	68	16**	5	13	8**	28	32	4**
Sillender and Pring ³³	61	76	15 (0.02)				73	85	12 (0.03)
Ward et al. ^{3‡}	77	95	18 (<0.001)	45	77	32 (<0.001)	10	23	13 (<0.001)
Williams et al. ^{34‡}	63	78	15 (<0.05)	21	36	15 (<0.05)			
Average	60	72	8	22	49	27	14	23	9

NOTE: Percentages are rounded. Blank cells indicate that the outcome was not measured in the study.

† Knowledge of association between folic acid and neural tube defects.

‡ In cases of trends we added the number of women whose outcome was measured more than one time before and/or after the intervention and divided it into the added number of all participant women before and/or after, respectively.

§ Data were presented for both cases and controls together, not separately for each group, both before and after intervention.

* P value determined by chi-square test for difference in proportions between results before and after campaign.

** P value not reported.

“media exposure” (how many times the messages have been seen or heard through different channels during the campaign).⁵⁰

The methods of delivering the tested interventions appear to differ in terms of content of the message, which were specified only in three studies,^{32,34,40} and its language, which also was mentioned only in three studies.^{14,26,40} All campaign strategies used more than one channel (Table 3). No study presented the results of each intervention channel separately. The effect of the intervention was reported for the specific campaign. Thus, we cannot present how the interventions differ by the type, or if multiple channels were

consistently more effective than a single one.

CONCLUSIONS

This systematic review of the published literature on the promotion of folic acid intake before and during pregnancy revealed marked heterogeneity of both design and results. The interventions led to small increases in awareness, knowledge, and consumption, so that *after* interventions, on average, still less than a quarter of women used folic acid. In relation to the U.S. Public Health Service guidelines recommending that *all* women of childbearing age consume folic acid

before pregnancy, it appears that the effectiveness of the interventions mentioned in this study was limited. Although health behavior is difficult to change, these results are disappointing, since folic acid appears to be safe, cheap, and cost effective.⁵¹ Poor dissemination of health knowledge is a well-recognized phenomenon,⁵² and this can be costly at the societal level.⁵³ Public health campaigns should aim for at least 60% to 70% of the target group, and preferably more than 80%, to act on the recommended health practice.⁵³ Our finding of a poor effect on behavior is not unusual.⁵²

A review of interventions aiming to motivate people to use stairs by placing

Table 2
Daily and Weekly Folic Acid Use in the Studies with Experimental and Quasi-experimental Design

Study		% Use Before Intervention	% Use After Intervention	Percentage Increase from Baseline (P)	
Daily	Robbins et al. ⁴¹	Intervention group	24	40	16*
		Control group	24	36	12 (0.549)
Weekly	Robbins et al. ⁴¹	Intervention group	38	64	26*
		Control group	43	51	8 (0.008)
	Lawrence et al. ⁴⁰	Mail/pharmacy intervention group	35	40	5 (0.03)
		Provider intervention group	39	43	4 (NS)
		Control group	37	41	4 (NS)

NOTE: Percentages are rounded. NS indicates no statistical significance.
* P value not reported.

signs by elevators and escalators (“point-of-decision prompts”) showed a median increase in stair climbing of 54% from a baseline level under 12%.⁵⁴ Educational interventions to promote the use of booster seats among children aged 4 to 8 years resulted in 38% of the intervention group using them, compared with 3% in the no-intervention group.⁵⁵

According to social marketing theory, mass media positively influences people’s awareness and knowledge, whereas behavior is more readily changed through such things as health professionals’ counselling and interpersonal communication.⁵⁶

The gaps between awareness, knowledge, and usage could be related to determinants of behavioral change. The adoption of a behavior by women is modified positively by awareness and by knowledge,⁵⁰ but it could be impeded by a variety of other coexisting factors,^{57,58} such as unplanned pregnancy,^{3,18,33,36,41,45,48} perceived barriers or threats (high cost, unwillingness or difficulty in taking tablets),^{16,27,30,41} forgetfulness,^{30,41} lack of time,⁴¹ women’s education level,³² age group,^{26,34}

and culture.⁵⁸ The positive short-term achievements of educational campaigns may not be sustained over time.^{40,47}

In the studies reviewed here, we found little use of the formal social marketing tools: test marketing (before intervention launching); audience segmentation (i.e., by age, education, ethnicity, marital, and socio-economic status); positioning of message (appropriate words and symbols); identification of a target population (women and/or health professionals); consumer research (for appropriate intervention tailoring); and the market entry strategy (channels of communication),⁵⁶ which may be critical to achieve the implementation. The qualitative formative research (such as marketing research methods, behavioral research, focus groups, clinical studies, public opinion polling and survey, and cognitive and psychodynamic psychology research),⁵⁸ to identify the baseline knowledge, folic acid consumption, and needs of women from different socio-economic strata, was done in only three studies^{28,34,40} before the intervention began.

These results showing slow adoption of periconceptional intake of folic acid contrast sharply with the successful uptake of new medications, such as statins (in use by more than 70% of patients after myocardial infarction), and they would be comparable to the low referral (10%–20%) of these same patients to cardiac rehabilitation. It appears as if patented technologies, with strong financial incentives to the industry, allow powerful marketing strategies involving both physicians and the public.

The findings of this review indicate that the health education approaches to date have had suboptimal effects both on the consumption of folic acid by women of reproductive age and on health professionals. This low level of compliance (under 25%, on average, for women) indicates a need to develop and test more effective health education interventions to promote folic acid supplement use by women of reproductive age. The failure to achieve folic acid supplement usage by more than one third of women of reproductive age led the Centers for Disease Control and Pre-

Table 4
Duration of Campaigns Launching Interventions to Women and to Health Professionals

Duration of Campaigns	Targeting Women of Childbearing Age	Targeting Health Professionals
Less than 1 h	Three ^{37,41,45}	One ¹⁹
2 wk	Five ^{16,25,29,31,46}	
1–6 mo	Ten ^{16,18,32,33,35,39,40,42,43,46}	Five ^{16,32,35,46,47}
1 y to <2 y	Eight ^{3,14,15,28,30,34,35,48}	Six ^{14,15,22,27,35,48}
2 y to <3 y	Three ^{14,15,26}	Four ^{7,14,15,36}

vention to promote fortification of flour as an alternative method, which has apparently been successful in reducing neural tube defects in the United States, as well as in Canada and elsewhere.⁵⁹

Similarly, our results may justify a decision to adopt folic acid fortification of flour as in Canada, the United States, and other countries, a method which appears to have reduced neural tube defect rates and raised average levels of folic acid, with concurrent reductions in homocysteine levels in some community surveys.⁶⁰

8 This review has some limitations related to the retrospective nature of the studies retrieved and their methodologic quality; the inherent weaknesses of the individual studies, including the use of self-report (recall bias) data; the nonrepresentativeness of samples; and the heterogeneity of study design and interventions.

SO WHAT? Implications for Health Promotion Practitioners and Researchers

Our literature review shows that interventions for preventing birth defects have positively affected the use of folic acid before and during pregnancy, although the reported use was still unacceptably low given the importance of folic acid.

The evidence suggests the need for further research on intervention design, especially addressing barriers to behavioral change and applying social marketing principles.

References

1. Oakley GP, Weber MB, Bell KN, Colditz P. Scientific evidence supporting folic acid fortification of flour in Australia and New Zealand. *Birth Defects Res A Clin Mol Teratol*. 2004;70:838-841.
2. Stevenson RE, Allen WP, Pai GS, et al. Decline in prevalence of neural tube defects in a high-risk region of the United States. *Pediatrics*. 2000;106:677-683.
3. Ward M, Hutton J, Mc Donnell R, et al. Folic acid supplements to prevent neural tube defects: trends in east of Ireland 1996-2002. *Ir Med J*. 2004;97:274-276.
4. Bailey LB, Rampersaud GC, Kauwell GP. Folic acid supplements and fortification affect the risk for neural tube defects, vascular disease and cancer: evolving science. *J Nutr*. 2003;133:1961S-1968S.
5. Wald N. Folic acid and the prevention of neural-tube defects. *N Engl J Med*. 2004;2:101-103.
6. Botto LD, Lissi A, Robert-Gnansia E, et al. International retrospective cohort study of neural tube defects in relation to folic acid recommendations: are the recommendations working? *BMJ*. 2005;330:571.
7. Gupta H, Gupta P. Neural tube defects and folic acid. *Indian Pediatr*. 2004;41:577-586.
8. Braekke K, Staff AC. Periconceptional use of folic acid supplements in Oslo. *Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand*. 2003;82:620-627.
9. Coll O, Pisa S, Palacio M, Quinto L, Cararach V. Awareness of the use of folic acid to prevent neural tube defects in a Mediterranean area. *Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol*. 2003;115:173-177.
10. Oleary M, Donnell RM, Johnson H. Folic acid and prevention of neural tube defects in 2000 improved awareness—low periconceptional uptake. *Ir Med J*. 2001;94:180-181.
11. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Knowledge about folic acid and use of multivitamins containing folic acid among reproductive aged women - Georgia, 1995. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep*. 1996;45:793-795.
12. Power ML, Holzman GB, Schulkin J. Knowledge and clinical practice regarding folic acid among obstetrician-gynecologists. *Obstet Gynecol*. 2000;95:895-898.
13. Marsack CR, Alsop CL, Kurinczuk JJ, Bower C. Pre-pregnancy counseling for the primary prevention of birth defects: rubella vaccination and folate intake. *Med J Aust*. 1995;162:403-406.
14. Ahluwalia IB, Daniel KL. Are women with recent live births aware of the benefits of folic acid?. *MMWR Recomm Rep*. 2001;50:3-14.
15. Alozie Arole CN, Puder KS, Reznar M, Eby E, Zhu BP. Folic acid awareness in Michigan, 1996-1999. *Obstet Gynecol*. 2003;102:1046-1050.
16. Bower C, Blum L, O'Daly K, et al. Promotion of folate for the prevention of neural tube defects: knowledge and use of periconceptional folic acid supplements in Western Australia, 1992-1995. *Aust N Z J Public Health*. 1997;21:716-721.
17. de Jong-van den Berg LT, Hernandez-Diaz S, Werler MM, Louik C, Mitchell AA. Trends and predictors of folic acid awareness and periconceptional use in pregnant women. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 2005;192:121-128.
18. Knudsen VK, Orozova B, Rasmussen LB, et al. Low compliance with recommendations on folic acid use in relation to pregnancy: is there a need for fortification?. *Public Health Nutr*. 2004;7:843-850.
19. Morgan C, Klein DA, Selbst M. Using CD-ROM technology to increase folic acid knowledge among physician assistant students. *J Allied Health*. 2004;33:194-199.
20. Effective Practice and Organization of Care Group. Available at: <http://www.epoc.uottawa.ca>. Accessed June-August 2005.
21. Centre for Reviews and Dissemination from the University of York. Available at: <http://www.york.ac.uk/inst/crd>. Accessed July 2005.
22. Cochrane Reviewers' Handbook 4.2.3, The Cochrane Collaboration, 2004. Available at: <http://www.cochrane.org/resources/handbook>. Accessed April 2005.
23. Abramson JH. Meta-analysis: a review of pros and cons. *Public Health Rev*. 1990-1991;18:1-47.
24. Critical Appraisal Skills Programme. Available at: http://www.phru.nhs.uk/casp/casp_case.control_tool.pdf. Accessed August 2005.
25. Higgins JPT, Thompson SG, Deeks JJ, Altman DG. Measuring inconsistency in meta-analyses. *BMJ*. 2003;327:557-560.
26. Amitai Y, Fisher N, Haringman M, et al. Increased awareness, knowledge and utilization of preconceptual folic acid in Israel following a national campaign. *Prev Med*. 2004;39:731-737.
27. Brandenburg H, Traas MAF, Laudy J, et al. Periconceptional use of folic acid amongst women of advanced maternal age. *Preat Diagn*. 1999;19:132-135.
28. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Folic acid campaign and evaluation-Southwestern Virginia 1997-1999. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep*. 1999;48:914-917.
29. Byrne J. Folic acid knowledge and use among relatives in Irish families with neural tube defects: an intervention study. *Ir J Med Sci*. 2003;172:118-122.
30. Chacko MR, Anding R, Kozinetz CA, Grover JL, Smith PB. Neural tube defects: knowledge and preconceptual prevention practices in minority young women. *Pediatrics*. 2003;112:536-542.
31. Daltveit AK, Vollset SE, Lande B, Oien H. Changes in knowledge and attitudes of folate, and use of dietary supplements among women of reproductive age in Norway 1998-2000. *Scand J Public Health*. 2004;32:264-271.
32. van der Pal-de Bruin KM, de Walle HE, de Rover CM, et al. Influence of educational level on determinants of folic acid use. *Paediatr Perinat Epidemiol*. 2003;17:256-263.
33. Sillender M, Pring DW. How effective was the Health Education Authority's folic acid campaign? *J Obstet Gynaecol*. 2000;20:271-276.
34. Williams P, McHenry J, McMahon A, Anderson H. Impact evaluation of a folate education campaign with and without the use of a health claim. *Aust N Z J Public Health*. 2001;25:396-404.
35. Chan A, Pickering J, Haan E, et al. Folate before pregnancy: the impact on women and health professionals of a population-based health promotion campaign in South Australia. *Med J Austr*. 2001;174:631-636.
36. Hauser KW, Lilly CM, Frias JL. Florida health care providers' knowledge of folic acid for the prevention of neural tube defects. *South Med J*. 2004;97:437-439.
37. Johnson PA, Stadler DD, Feldkamp M, Webber B. Impact of an educational seminar on high school students' knowledge of folic acid supplementation

9

10

- and its role in the prevention of birth defects. *J Am Diet Assoc.* 2002;102:S78–S81.
38. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), Knowledge and use of folic acid by women of childbearing age – United States, 1995 and 1998. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep.* 1999;48:325–327.
39. Watson L, Bell R, Watson M, Burford N, Brennecke S. Is there an increased role for general practice in making women more aware of folate supplementation to prevent neural tube defects? *Aust Fam Physician.* 2003;32:568–569.
40. Lawrence JM, Watkins ML, Ershoff D, et al. Design and evaluation of interventions promoting periconceptional multivitamin use. *Am J Prev Med.* 2003;25:17–24.
41. Robbins JM, Cleves MA, Collins B, et al. Randomized trial of a physician-based intervention to increase the use of folic acid supplements among women. *Am J Obstet Gynecol.* 2005;192:1126–1132.
42. Elliman A. Survey of subfertility patients attending a community clinic over a two year span, before and after national campaigns. *Br J Fam Plann.* 1998;24:80–81.
- 11 43. Milan JE. The women's folate study: a stage-tailored, Web-based intervention for college women. 2005;65:6298.45.
44. Damus K. Everyone needs folic. Paper presented at: Folic Acid for Life Teleconference, March 11, 2004. Available at: <http://www.ces.purdue.edu/folicacid/materials.htm>. Accessed June 2005.
45. Quillin JM, Silberg J, Board P, Pratt L, Bodurtha J. College women's awareness and consumption of folic acid for the prevention of neural tube defects. *Genet Med.* 2000;2:209–213.
46. Walle HEK, de Jong-van den Berg LTW, Cornel MC. Periconceptional folic acid intake in the northern Netherlands. *Lancet.* 1999;353:1187.
47. Bower C, Knowles S, Nicol D. Changes in folate supplementation and in serum and red cell folate levels in antenatal patients over the course of a health promotion project for the prevention of neural tube defects. *Aust N Z J Obstet Gynaecol.* 1997;37:267–271.
48. Egen V, Hasford J. Prevention of neural tube defects: effect of an intervention aimed at implementing the official recommendations. *Soz Praventivmed.* 2003;48:24–32.
49. van der Pal-de Bruin KM, de Walle HEK, Jeeninga W, et al. The Dutch "Folic acid campaign" - have the goals been achieved? *Pediatr Perinat Epidemiol.* 2000;14:111–117.
50. Thompson TL, Dorsey AM, Miller KI, Parrott R. *Handbook of Health Communication.* Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates Publishers; 2003.
51. Postma MJ, Londeman J, Veenstra M, de Walle HEK, de Jong van den Berg LTW. Cost effectiveness of periconceptional supplementation of folic acid. *Pharm World Sci.* 2002;24:8–11.
52. Grol R, Grimshaw J. From best evidence to best practice: effective implementation of change in patients' care. *Lancet.* 2003;362:1225–1230.
53. Woolf SH, Johnson RE. The break-even point: when medical advances are less important than improving the fidelity with which they are delivered. *Ann Fam Med.* 2005;3:545–552.
54. Kahn EB, Ramsey LT, Brownson RC, et al. The effectiveness of interventions to increase physical activity - a systematic review. *Am J Prev Med.* 2002;22(Suppl):73–107.
55. Ehiri JE, Ejere HOD, Magnussen L, et al. Interventions for promoting booster seat use in four to eight year olds traveling in motor vehicles (review). The Cochrane Collaboration, 2006. Available at: <http://www.mrw.interscience.wiley.com/cochrane/clsystrev/articles/CD004334/frame.html>. Accessed March 2007.
56. Roger EM. Diffusion of Innovations. *Free Press.* 2003.
57. Greehalgh T, Glenn R, MacFarlane F, Bate P, Kyriakidou O. Diffusion of innovations in health organization: systematic review and recommendation. *Milbank Quarterly.* 2004;82:581–629.
58. Siegel M, Doner L. Marketing Public Health. Strategies to promote social change. 2004.
59. Eichholzer M, Tönz O, Zimmermann R. Folic acid: a public-health challenge. *Lancet.* 2006;367:1352–6131.
60. Tulchinsky TH, Nitzan Kaluski D, Berry EM. Food fortification and risk group supplementation are vital parts of a comprehensive nutrition policy for prevention of chronic diseases. *Eur J Public Health.* 2004;14:226–228.
61. Wray RJ, Jupka K, Ludwig Bell C. A community wide media campaign to promote walking in a Missouri town. *Prev Chronic Dis.* 2005;2:A04.
62. Abramson J. *Overdosed America: The Broken Promise Of American Medicine.* New York, NY: HarperCollins; 2004.
63. Fleuren M, Wiefferink K, Paulussen T. Determinants of innovation within health care organizations Literature review and Delphi study. *Int J Qua Health Care.* 2004;16:107–123.
64. Marteau T, Dieppe P, Foy R, Kinmonth AL, Schneiderman N. Behavioural medicine: changing our behaviour. *BMJ.* 2006;332:437–438.
65. Ellis A. Inertia on folic acid has caused thousands of unnecessary deaths. *BMJ.* 2003;326:1054.
66. Angell M. *The Truth About the Drug Companies: How They Deceive Us and What to Do About It.* New York, NY: Random House; 2004.
67. Grier S, Bryant CA. Social marketing in public health. *Annu Rev Public Health.* 2005;26:319–339.
68. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), Knowledge and use of folic acid among women of reproductive age — Michigan, 1998. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep.* 2001;50:185–189.
- 13

Authors Queries

Journal: **Health Promotion**

Paper: **hepr-22-01-03**

Title: **A Systematic Review of Interventions to Increase Awareness, Knowledge, and Folic Acid Consumption Before and During Pregnancy**

Dear Author

During the preparation of your manuscript for publication, the questions listed below have arisen. Please attend to these matters and return this form with your proof. Many thanks for your assistance

Query Reference	Query	Remarks
1	Author: This article has been lightly edited for grammar, style, and usage. Please compare it with your original document and make corrections on these pages. Please limit your corrections to substantive changes that affect meaning. If no change is required in response to a question, please write "OK as set" in the margin. Copy editor	
2	Author: Please check affiliation and reprint request footnotes. Copy editor	
3	Author: Please give initials of the author performing the assessment. Copy editor	
4	Author: Please give the initials of the author performing this assessment. Copy editor	
5	Author: Please check all reference numbers inserted, and insert reference numbers for those without them. Copy editor	
6	Author: Included reference citation for Bruin 2000. Correct? Copy editor	
7	Editor, please provide a new Table 3 with revision	
8	Author: References 61–68 are not cited in the text. Please cite or delete. Copy editor	

9	Author: Much of the information in the references was changed to match PubMed citations. Copy editor	
10	Author: Is there an author/title for Reference 20? Are you citing the entire website, or jut an article on it? See also reference 21. Copy editor	
11	Author: What journal is reference 43 from? Not on PubMed. Copy editor	
12	Author: Please list a page number for reference 56. Copy editor	
13	Author: Is reference 58 a book, article, paper? Please give publication information. Copy editor	